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In this handout we derive the important formula for the total power emitted by a gravitational-wave source. The point is that the energy flux is not isotropic, rather the formula for the energy flux

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { energy flux }=\frac{c^{3}}{32 \pi G} \frac{4 G^{2}}{c^{8} r^{2}}\left\langle\dddot{\Psi}_{i j}^{T T} \dddot{\Psi}_{T T}^{i j}\right\rangle=\frac{G}{8 \pi c^{5}} \frac{\left\langle\dddot{I}_{i j}^{T T} \dddot{I}_{T T}^{i j}\right\rangle}{r^{2}}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

contains a dependence of the flux on the direction of propagation. The purpose of this handout is to uncover the mathematical expression for the energy flux as a function of the direction of propagation, and integrate it to obtain the total power emitted by a GW source.

In a previous handout, The transverse-traceless gauge, we found that the generic amplitude of the GW strain in the TT gauge, with propagation in the $z$ direction is

$$
\left[A^{\mu \nu}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{2}\\
0 & A^{11} & A^{12} & 0 \\
0 & A^{12} & -A^{11} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

for a gravitational wave with strain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\mu \nu}=A^{\mu \nu} \cos (\omega t-k z) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, taking a generic matrix with propagation in a generic spatial direction, we still have vanishing time components, and the matrix is

$$
\left[A^{\mu \nu}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{4}\\
0 & A^{11} & A^{12} & A^{13} \\
0 & A^{21} & A^{22} & A^{23} \\
0 & A^{31} & A^{32} & A^{33}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since in the following we only deal with the space part of tensors, to simplify the bookkeeping of signs, we choose - just for this handout - the convention where the space part of the Minkowski metric tensor $\eta_{i j}$ has positive elements.

To satisfy the conditions of the TT-gauge we have to project $\left[A^{i j}\right]$ it in a plane perpendicular to the direction of propagation and make it traceless. We start with the projection operator of a vector in the direction perpendicular to a unit vector $\mathbf{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{k}^{j}=\delta_{k}^{j}-n^{j} n_{k} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A true projector operator should be such that $P_{m}^{j} P_{k}^{m}=P_{k}^{j}$. We verify that $P_{k}^{j}$ is a true projector as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{m}^{j} P_{k}^{m}=\left(\delta_{m}^{j}-n^{j} n_{m}\right)\left(\delta_{k}^{m}-n^{m} n_{k}\right)=\delta_{k}^{j}-n^{j} n_{k}-n^{j} n_{k}+\left(n_{m} n^{m}\right) n^{j} n_{k} \\
&=\delta_{k}^{j}-n^{j} n_{k}=P_{k}^{j} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, consider the specific case of $\mathbf{n}=\hat{\mathbf{z}}$. It is easy to see that

$$
\left[P_{k}^{j}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0  \tag{7}\\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since a matrix like $A^{i j}$ transforms like the tensor product of two contravariant vectors, the projected (transverse) matrix with this projection operator is

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[A_{T}^{j k}\right]=\left[P_{m}^{j} A^{m n} P_{n}^{k}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
A^{11} & A^{12} & A^{13} \\
A^{21} & A^{22} & A^{23} \\
A^{31} & A^{32} & A^{33}
\end{array}\right) } & \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
A^{11} & A^{12} & 0 \\
A^{21} & A^{22} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

as we could easily guess from the start.
We make the matrix traceless by subtracting the trace equally from each diagonal element, so that it can easily be written as a linear combination of the polarization tensors:

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[A_{T T}^{j k}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
A^{x x} & A^{x y} & 0 \\
A^{y x} & A^{y y} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(A^{x x}\right.} & \left.+A^{y y}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{2}\left(A^{x x}-A^{y y}\right) & A^{x y} & 0 \\
A^{y x} & -\frac{1}{2}\left(A^{x x}-A^{y y}\right) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

We have just seen how to combine the projection on the transverse plane with making the matrix traceless for the specific case of GW propagation along the $\mathbf{z}$ axis. Next, we
extend these considerations to a generic propagation direction. Formally, the trace of the transverse matrix is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{a b} A_{T}^{a b}=\eta_{a b} P_{m}^{a} P_{n}^{b} A^{m n}=P_{b m} P_{n}^{b} A^{m n}=P_{m n} A^{m n} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

this means that, in general, the transverse-traceless matrix is given by the following expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{T T}^{i j}=\left(P_{m}^{i} P_{n}^{j}-\frac{1}{2} P^{i j} P_{m n}\right) A^{m n} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use these operators and the equation for the energy flux that we discussed earlier

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { energy flux }=\frac{G}{8 \pi c^{5}} \frac{\left\langle\dddot{\Psi}_{i j} \dddot{\mathscr{I}}^{i j}\right\rangle}{r^{2}}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

to find the flux in a generic direction. Thus, using eq.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m}^{j} P_{k}^{m}=P_{k}^{j} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left[P_{k}^{j}\right]=P_{j}^{j}=2 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\dddot{I}_{i j}^{T T} \dddot{I}_{T T}^{i j} & =\left(P_{m}^{i} P_{n}^{j}-\frac{1}{2} P^{i j} P_{m n}\right) \dddot{I}^{m n}\left(P_{i}^{k} P_{j}^{\ell}-\frac{1}{2} P_{i j} P^{k \ell}\right) \dddot{I}_{k \ell}  \tag{15}\\
& =\left(P_{m}^{k} P_{n}^{\ell}-\frac{1}{2} P^{k \ell} P_{m n}-\frac{1}{2} P^{k \ell} P_{m n}+\frac{1}{2} P^{k \ell} P_{m n}\right) \dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{I}_{k \ell}  \tag{16}\\
& =\left(P_{m}^{k} P_{n}^{\ell}-\frac{1}{2} P^{k \ell} P_{m n}\right) \dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{I}_{k \ell} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{k}^{j}=\delta_{k}^{j}-n^{j} n_{k} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m n} \dddot{\Psi}^{m n}=P_{n}^{m} \dddot{I}_{m}^{n}=\left(\delta_{n}^{m}-n^{m} n_{n}\right) \dddot{I}_{m}^{n}=\dddot{\Psi}_{n}^{n}-n^{m} n_{n} \dddot{\Psi}_{m}^{n}=-n_{m} n_{n} \dddot{\dddot{I}}^{m n} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore the last term in expression 17 is

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2} P^{k \ell} P_{m n} \dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{I}_{k \ell}=-\frac{1}{2} n_{k} n_{\ell} n_{m} n_{n} \dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{I}^{k \ell} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first term in expression (17) can be expanded as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{m}^{k} P_{n}^{\ell} \dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{\Psi}_{k \ell} & =\left(\delta_{m}^{k}-n^{k} n_{m}\right)\left(\delta_{n}^{\ell}-n^{\ell} n_{n}\right) \dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{I}_{k \ell}  \tag{21}\\
& =\dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{\Psi}_{m n}-n^{k} n_{m} \dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{I}_{k n}-n^{\ell} n_{n} \dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{\Psi}_{m \ell}+n^{k} n_{m} n^{\ell} n_{n} \dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{I}_{k \ell}  \tag{22}\\
& =\dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{I}_{m n}-n^{k} n_{m} \dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{I}_{k n}-n^{k} n_{m} \dddot{I}^{n m} \dddot{I}_{n k}+n_{k} n_{m} n_{\ell} n_{n} \dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{I}^{k \ell}  \tag{23}\\
& =\dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{I}_{m n}-2 n^{k} n_{m} \dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{I}_{k n}+n_{k} n_{m} n_{\ell} n_{n} \dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{I}^{k \ell} . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, combining all the pieces, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dddot{I}_{i j}^{T T} \dddot{I}_{T T}^{i j}=\dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{I}_{m n}-2 n_{k} n_{m} \dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{I}_{n}^{k}+\frac{1}{2} n_{k} n_{m} n_{\ell} n_{n} \dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{I}^{k \ell} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equation for the energy flux in direction $\mathbf{n}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { energy flux } & =\frac{G}{8 \pi c^{5}} \frac{\left\langle\dddot{I}_{i j}^{T T} \dddot{I}_{T T}^{i j}\right\rangle}{r^{2}} \\
& =\frac{G}{16 \pi c^{5} r^{2}}\left\langle 2 \dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{I}_{m n}-4 n^{k} n^{m} \dddot{I}_{m}^{n} \dddot{I}_{n k}+n^{k} n^{m} n^{\ell} n^{n} \dddot{I}_{m n} \dddot{I}_{k \ell}\right\rangle \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

We integrate this energy flux (irradiance) of the gravitational wave over all directions to find the total emitted power. To this end we need an explicit expression for the unit vector $\mathbf{n}$. We take the $z$-axis as a reference and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{n}=(\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that, by integrating over the surface of a sphere of radius $r$, the total emitted power is

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{\mathrm{GW}} & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \int_{-1}^{+1} d \cos \theta \frac{G}{8 \pi c^{5}}\left\langle\dddot{I}_{i j}^{T T} \dddot{I}_{T T}^{i j}\right\rangle  \tag{28}\\
& =\frac{G}{16 \pi c^{5}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \int_{-1}^{+1} d \cos \theta\left(2\left\langle\dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{I}_{m n}\right\rangle-4 n^{k} n^{m}\left\langle\dddot{\Psi}_{m}^{n} \dddot{I}_{n k}\right\rangle+n^{k} n^{m} n^{\ell} n^{n}\left\langle\dddot{\Psi}_{m n} \dddot{I}_{k \ell}\right\rangle\right) \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

The last formula splits into separate integrals; the first integral is easy to evaluate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \int_{-1}^{+1} d \cos \theta=4 \pi \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second integral is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \int_{-1}^{+1} d \cos \theta n^{k} n^{m} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we can distinguish different cases:

1. $k \neq m$ : in practice, because of the symmetry with respect to index exchanges, this corresponds to three products, $n^{x} n^{y}, n^{x} n^{z}$, and $n^{y} n^{z}$, with the corresponding integrals evaluated below
(a)

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \int_{-1}^{+1} d \cos \theta n^{x} n^{y} & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \int_{-1}^{+1} d \cos \theta \sin ^{2} \theta \cos \phi \sin \phi \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1}\left(1-\cos ^{2} \theta\right) d \cos \theta \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sin 2 \phi d \phi=0 \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

(b)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \int_{-1}^{+1} d \cos \theta n^{x} n^{z}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \int_{-1}^{+1} d \cos \theta \sin \theta \cos \theta \cos \phi=0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \int_{-1}^{+1} d \cos \theta n^{y} n^{z}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \int_{-1}^{+1} d \cos \theta \sin \theta \cos \theta \sin \phi=0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. $k=m$ : this corresponds to three products, $n^{x} n^{x}, n^{y} n^{y}$, and $n^{z} n^{z}$, however we expect them to be equal because of the spherical symmetry of the problem (arbitrary choice of the reference axes for vector $\mathbf{n}$ ), and we only need to evaluate the simplest one

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \int_{-1}^{+1} d \cos \theta n^{z} n^{z}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \int_{-1}^{+1} d \cos \theta \cos ^{2} \theta=\frac{4 \pi}{3} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we can summarize these result with the single formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \int_{-1}^{+1} d \cos \theta n^{i} n^{j}=\frac{4 \pi}{3} \eta^{i j} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

## The third integral is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \int_{-1}^{+1} d \cos \theta n^{k} n^{m} n^{\ell} n^{n} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the previous calculation we have seen that only the terms with an even number of equal factors survive: the reason is that those with an odd number are also odd functions with respect to even integration intervals. For the same reason, here only the terms which contain two pairs of equal indices, or where all indices are equal, survive. Therefore we must consider the terms $n^{x} n^{x} n^{y} n^{y}, n^{x} n^{x} n^{z} n^{z}$, and $n^{y} n^{y} n^{z} n^{z}$ (pairs), and the terms $n^{x} n^{x} n^{x} n^{x}, n^{y} n^{y} n^{y} n^{y}$, and $n^{z} n^{z} n^{z} n^{z}$ :

1. $n^{x} n^{x} n^{y} n^{y}$ (and similar terms): the naming of the pairs does not really matter, because of the arbitrariness in choosing the reference frame, therefore we only need to evaluate one integral, with integrand $n^{x} n^{x} n^{z} n^{z}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \int_{-1}^{+1} d \cos \theta n^{x} n^{x} n^{z} n^{z} & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \int_{-1}^{+1} d \cos \theta \sin ^{2} \theta \cos ^{2} \theta \cos ^{2} \phi  \tag{38}\\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \cos ^{2} \phi d \phi \int_{-1}^{+1} d x\left(x^{2}-x^{4}\right)=\frac{4 \pi}{15} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

2. $n^{x} n^{x} n^{x} n^{x}$ (and similar terms): in this case all the three integrals must be the same, we only take the integrand $n^{z} n^{z} n^{z} n^{z}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \int_{-1}^{+1} d \cos \theta n^{z} n^{z} n^{z} n^{z}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \int_{-1}^{+1} d \cos \theta \cos ^{4} \theta=\frac{4 \pi}{5} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can take into account all the index combinations that produce nonvanishing values of the integral with the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4 \pi}{15}\left(\eta^{k m} \eta^{\ell n}+\eta^{k \ell} \eta^{m n}+\eta^{k n} \eta^{m \ell}\right) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and indeed, each element of the sum selects just one of the combinations that include unequal pairs, contributing with $4 \pi / 15$, while in the case of all indices equal, all terms contribute, and therefore the corresponding value is $4 \pi / 5$ as it should be.

Thus, the total power emitted by the GW source is

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{\mathrm{GW}} & =\frac{G}{16 \pi c^{5}}\left[8 \pi\left\langle\dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{\Psi}_{m n}\right\rangle-\frac{16 \pi}{3} \eta^{k m}\left\langle\dddot{I}_{m}^{n} \dddot{I}_{n k}\right\rangle+\frac{4 \pi}{15}\left(\eta^{k m} \eta^{\ell n}+\eta^{k \ell} \eta^{m n}+\eta^{k n} \eta^{m \ell}\right)\left\langle\dddot{\Psi}_{m n} \dddot{I}_{k \ell}\right\rangle\right]  \tag{42}\\
& =\frac{G}{4 c^{5}}\left[2\left\langle\dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{I}_{m n}\right\rangle-\frac{4}{3}\left\langle\dddot{\Psi}^{m n} \dddot{I}_{m n}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{15}\left(\left\langle\dddot{\Psi}^{m n} \dddot{\Psi}_{m n}\right\rangle+\left\langle\dddot{\Psi}_{m}^{m} \dddot{I}_{n}^{n}\right\rangle+\left\langle\dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{\Psi}_{m n}\right\rangle\right)\right]  \tag{43}\\
& =\frac{G}{5 c^{5}}\left\langle\dddot{I}^{m n} \dddot{I}_{m n}\right\rangle \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

(recalling that $\dddot{I}^{m n}$ is traceless, and therefore that the term $\left\langle\dddot{\Psi}_{m}^{m} \dddot{I}_{n}^{n}\right\rangle$ vanishes).

