# Commentary on the paper by T.-P. Li and Y.-Q. Ma "Analysis methods for results in gamma-ray astronomy", ApJ **272** (1983) 317

Edoardo Milotti Advanced Statistics for Physics course A.Y. 2024-25

#### The Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope

The Universe is home to numerous exotic and beautiful phenomena, some of which can generate almost inconceivable amounts of energy. Supermassive black holes, merging neutron stars, streams of hot gas moving close to the speed of light ... these are but a few of the marvels that generate gamma-ray radiation, the most energetic form of radiation, billions of times more energetic than the type of light visible to our eyes. What is happening to produce this much energy? What happens to the surrounding environment near these phenomena? How will studying these energetic objects add to our understanding of the very nature of the Universe and how it behaves?

The **Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope**, formerly GLAST, is opening this high-energy world to exploration and helping us answer these questions. With Fermi, astronomers have a superior tool to study how black holes, notorious for pulling matter in, can accelerate jets of gas outward at fantastic speeds. Physicists are able to study subatomic particles at energies far greater than those seen in ground-based particle accelerators. And cosmologists are gaining valuable information about the birth and early evolution of the Universe.

(adapted from <a href="https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov">https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov</a>)



https://imagine.gsfc.nasa.gov/observatories/learning/fermi/mission/lat.html

The Fermi LAT 60-month image, constructed from frontconverting gamma rays with energies greater than 1 GeV. The most prominent feature is the bright band of diffuse glow along the map's center, which marks the central plane of our Milky Way galaxy. (Credit: NASA/DOE/Fermi LAT Collaboration) Gamma-ray (blue) and radio (red) light curves of three millisecond pulsars discovered by radio follow-up in Fermi unidentified sources.

(from

https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/science/eteu/pulsars/)



#### ABSTRACT

The current procedures for analyzing results of  $\gamma$ -ray astronomy experiments are examined critically. We propose two formulae to estimate the significance of positive observations in searching  $\gamma$ -ray sources or lines. The correctness of the formulae are tested by Monte Carlo simulations.

Subject headings: gamma-rays: general — numerical methods

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Evaluation of the statistical reliability of positive results in searching discrete  $\gamma$ -ray sources or lines is an important problem in  $\gamma$ -ray astronomy. Since both the signal-to-background ratio and detector sensitivity are generally limited in this energy range, one must carefully analyze the observed data to determine the confidence level of a candidate source or line, that is, the probability that the count rate excess is due to a genuine source or line rather than to a spurious background fluctuation, even though all systematic effects are believed to have been removed.

Figure 1 shows a typical observation in  $\gamma$ -ray astronomy. A photon detector points in the direction of a suspected source for a certain time  $t_{on}$  and counts  $N_{on}$  photons, and then it turns for background measurement for a time interval  $t_{off}$  and counts  $N_{off}$  photons. The quantity  $\alpha$  is the ratio of the on-source time to the off-source time,  $\alpha = t_{on}/t_{off}$  (in some cases of searching for lines,  $N_{on}$  is the number of counts under a peak in an energy spectrum, and the peak is taken to be  $n_s$  channels wide;  $N_{off}$  is the number of counts in  $n_b$  channels adjacent to the peak; then  $\alpha = n_s/n_b$ ). Then we can estimate the number of background photons included in the on-source counts  $N_{on}$ :

$$\hat{N}_B = \alpha N_{\rm off} \ . \tag{1}$$

The observed signal, the probable number of photons contributed by the source, is

$$N_S = N_{\rm on} - \hat{N}_B = N_{\rm on} - \alpha N_{\rm off} .$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

6

a spurious background fluctuation, even though all systematic effects are believed to have been removed.

Figure 1 shows a typical observation in  $\gamma$ -ray astronomy. A photon detector points in the direction of a suspected source for a certain time  $t_{on}$  and counts  $N_{on}$  photons, and then it turns for background measurement for a time interval  $t_{off}$  and counts  $N_{off}$  photons. The quantity  $\alpha$  is the ratio of the on-source time to the off-source time,  $\alpha = t_{on}/t_{off}$  (in some cases of searching for lines,  $N_{on}$  is the number of counts under a peak in an energy spectrum, and the peak is

, 1983 September 1 erved. Printed in U.S.A.

.

. .

.

#### Simple estimate of signal strength and its statistical significance.

- estimate of background photons included in on-source counts
- estimate of observed signal

$$\hat{N}_B = \alpha N_{\text{off}}$$

 $\sigma^2$ 

$$\hat{N}_S = N_{\rm on} - \hat{N}_B$$
$$= N_{\rm on} - \alpha N_{\rm off}$$

$$(\hat{N}_S) = \sigma^2(N_{\rm on}) + \sigma^2(\hat{N}_B)$$
  
=  $\sigma^2(N_{\rm on}) + \sigma^2(\alpha N_{\rm off})$   
=  $\sigma^2(N_{\rm on}) + \alpha^2\sigma^2(N_{\rm off})$ 

standard deviation estimate

$$\hat{\sigma}_S = \sqrt{N_{\rm on} + \alpha^2 N_{\rm off}}$$

• statistical significance

$$S = \frac{\hat{N}_S}{\hat{\sigma}_S} = \frac{N_{\rm on} - \alpha N \text{off}}{\sqrt{N_{\rm on} + \alpha^2 N_{\rm off}}}$$

### Estimate of result reliability and new estimated significance

Here we calculate the standard deviation under the assumption that there are only background photons.

7 7

- estimate of photon arrival rate
- estimate of background on-source photons
- estimate of background off-source photons
- estimate of on-source standard deviation

$$\frac{N_{\rm on} + N_{\rm off}}{t_{\rm on} + t_{\rm off}}$$

AT

, 1983 September 1 erved. Printed in U.S.A.

$$\hat{N}_B = \frac{N_{\rm on} + N_{\rm off}}{t_{\rm on} + t_{\rm off}} t_{\rm on} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1} (N_{\rm on} + N_{\rm off})$$

$$\frac{N_{\rm on} + N_{\rm off}}{t_{\rm on} + t_{\rm off}} t_{\rm off} = \frac{\hat{N}_B}{\alpha}$$

$$\sigma^2(\hat{N}_S) = \sigma^2(N_{\rm on}) + \alpha^2 \sigma^2(N_{\rm off}) \approx \hat{N}_B + \alpha^2(\hat{N}_B/\alpha)$$
$$= (1+\alpha)\hat{N}_B = \alpha(N_{\rm on} + N_{\rm off})$$

estimated significance

$$S = \frac{\hat{N}_S}{\hat{\sigma}_S} = \frac{N_{\rm on} - \alpha N_{\rm off}}{(\sqrt{\alpha(N_{\rm on} + N_{\rm off})})}$$

### The Likelihood Ratio Method and Wilks' theorem – 1

• Taylor expansion close to the true value of the parameter(s)

$$\frac{\partial \ln L(D|\theta)}{\partial \theta} \approx -\left. \frac{\partial^2 \ln L(D|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \right|_{\theta=\theta_0} \left(\theta - \theta_0\right) \approx -E \left[ \left. \frac{\partial^2 \ln L(D|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \right|_{\theta=\theta_0} \right] \left(\theta - \theta_0\right)$$

• Integration

$$L(D|\theta) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}E\left[\left.\frac{\partial^2 \ln L(D|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right|_{\theta=\theta_0}\right](\theta-\theta_0)^2\right\}$$

• Extension to more than one parameter (with parameters split into two subsets)

$$L(D|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = L(D|\boldsymbol{\theta}_r, \boldsymbol{\theta}_s) \propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)^T I(\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\right]$$

where Fisher's information matrix is split into submatrices I =

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{rr} & \vdots & I_{rs} \\ \cdots & & \cdots \\ I_{sr} & \vdots & I_{ss} \end{pmatrix}$$

The Likelihood Ratio Method and Wilks' theorem – 2

- Then, 
$$oldsymbol{ heta}=egin{pmatrix}oldsymbol{ heta}_r\\oldsymbol{ heta}_s\end{pmatrix}$$
 and therefore

$$L(D|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{r},\boldsymbol{\theta}_{s}) \propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{r}-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0,r})^{T}I_{rr}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{r}-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0,r}) - (\boldsymbol{\theta}_{r}-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0,r})^{T}I_{rs}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{s}-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0,s}) - \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{s}-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0,s})^{T}I_{ss}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{s}-\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0,s})\right]$$

• When we maximize the likelihood with respect to the whole parameter vector, we find that the estimators for the subvectors are

$$heta_r' = \hat{oldsymbol{ heta}}_r; \quad heta_s' = \hat{oldsymbol{ heta}}_s$$

and the corresponding maximum likelihood has a fixed value that depends only on data.

#### The Likelihood Ratio Method and Wilks' theorem – 3

• When we maximize the likelihood with respect to the *s* parameters only, we find

$$L(D|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{r}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{s}) \propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{r} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0,r})^{T}I_{rr}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{r} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0,r}) - (\boldsymbol{\theta}_{r} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0,r})^{T}I_{rs}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{s} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0,s})\right]$$
$$\propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{r} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0,r} - b_{D})^{T}I_{rr}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{r} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0,r} - b_{D})\right]$$

• This means that the statistic

$$\begin{split} \lambda &= -2L(D|\boldsymbol{\theta}_r, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_s) \\ &\sim (\boldsymbol{\theta}_r - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0,r} - b_D)^T I_{rr}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_r - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0,r} - b_D) \\ &\approx (\boldsymbol{\theta}_r - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0,r})^T I_{rr}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_r - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0,r}) \end{split}$$

(where the bias vanishes asymptotically) has a chi-square distribution with *r* degrees of freedom for large *n* (Wilks' theorem).

## Application of the Likelihood Ratio Method to estimating $N_s$ and $N_B$

• The problem at hand is defined by

data:  $(N_{\mathrm{on}}, N_{\mathrm{off}})$ 

unknown parameters:  $\theta = (\langle N_B \rangle, \langle N_S \rangle)$ null hypothesis:  $\langle N_S \rangle = 0$ alternative hypothesis:  $\langle N_S \rangle \neq 0$ 

• maximum of a Poisson likelihood with just one measurement

$$L(N|\theta) = \frac{\theta^N}{N!} e^{-\theta} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \ln L(N|\theta) \sim N \ln \theta - \theta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = \frac{N}{\theta} - 1 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{\theta} = N$$

(the count is the MaxL estimate).

This means that the previous estimates ARE indeed MaxL estimates, and we can use them to calculate the likelihood ratio.

#### Application of the Likelihood Ratio Method to estimating $N_S$ and $N_B$ (ctd.)

• MaxL estimates

alternative hypothesis:  $\langle \hat{N}_B \rangle = \alpha N_{\rm off}, \quad \langle \hat{N}_S \rangle = N_{\rm on} - \alpha N_{\rm off}$ 

null hypothesis: 
$$\langle \hat{N}_B \rangle = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1} (N_{\rm on} + N_{\rm off}), \quad \langle \hat{N}_S \rangle = 0$$

• Likelihoods

alternative hypothesis: 
$$L(D|H_1)|_{\max} = \frac{N_{\text{on}}^{N_{\text{on}}}}{N_{\text{on}}!}e^{-N_{\text{on}}}\frac{N_{\text{off}}^{N_{\text{off}}}}{N_{\text{off}}!}e^{-N_{\text{off}}}$$

null hypothesis: 
$$L(D|H_0)|_{\max} = \frac{1}{N_{\text{on}}!} \left( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} (N_{\text{on}} + N_{\text{off}}) \right)^{N_{\text{on}}} \exp\left( -\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} (N_{\text{on}} + N_{\text{off}}) \right)$$
  
  $\times \frac{1}{N_{\text{off}}!} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha+1} (N_{\text{on}} + N_{\text{off}}) \right)^{N_{\text{off}}} \exp\left( -\frac{1}{\alpha+1} (N_{\text{on}} + N_{\text{off}}) \right)^{N_{\text{off}}}$ 

Application of the Likelihood Ratio Method to estimating  $N_s$  and  $N_B$  (ctd.)

• MaxL ratio

$$\Lambda_{\max} = \frac{L(D|H_0)|_{\max}}{L(D|H_1)|_{\max}} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} \frac{N_{\text{on}} + N_{\text{off}}}{N_{\text{on}}}\right)^{N_{\text{on}}} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha+1} \frac{N_{\text{on}} + N_{\text{off}}}{N_{\text{off}}}\right)^{N_{\text{off}}}$$

therefore the significance can be obtained from  $-2\ln\Lambda_{max}$  because  $-2\ln\Lambda$  has a chi-square distribution with 1 degree of freedom.